

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/760,180	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	SMA01US	2057
24011 SILVERBROO	7590 11/13/2008 OK RESEARCH PTY L	EXAM	EXAMINER	
393 DARLING STREET			GARCIA JR, RENE	
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA	.041	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2853	
			WIT BUT	DET HERMINORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/760,180	SILVERBROOK ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	RENE GARCIA JR	2853						

	RENE GARCIA JR	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Mole: If took 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	Flad within two months	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i They raise new issues that would require further contains they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL OOA)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Stephen D Meier/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2853							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended independent claim 1 adds the limitaion of a slitter to cut print media, the scope of the claim has been changed, requiring a new search and further consideration with regards to the slitter mechanism function and placement.